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Both, digitalization and sustainable development are two megatrends with significant impact on the chemical industry in Germany through to 2025, according to a recent survey among 60 chemists. Digitalization is as seen a driver for sustainable development, even though there is no quantitative correlation in the importance of the two megatrends. When implementing Corporate Digital Sustainable Responsibility (CDSR) chemical companies need to find the right balance between business, technology, society, responsibility and mindset-related facets that chemical practitioners refer to when arguing in favor of digitalization being a driver for sustainability or against it.

1 Introduction

Germany’s chemical industry has taken a leading role in Responsible Care (VCI, 2011) – nowadays referred to as Sustainable Development (Sachs, 2015) – since about 25 years. Digitalization, a second, steeply evolving megatrend, is not new either. However, its breakthrough in the chemical industry has begun only recently, marking the beginning of Digital Sustainable Development (DSD) (RNE, 2018). An empirical survey among 60 chemists identifies some preconditions and obstacles for “Corporate Digital Sustainable Responsibility”, the extended corporate governance (Werder, 2018), Digital Sustainable Development, the process to make it happen, and ultimately the desired Sustainable Development Goals (United Nations, 2015).

2 Progress of sustainable development in the chemical industry

The origin of sustainability is closely connected to major accidents, e.g. at Seveso (Kramer et al., 2019), Bhopal (Eckerman and Børsen, 2018), Houston Chemical Complex (U.S. Department of Labor, 1990) and Exxon Valdez (Cohen, 1995) in the 1970s and 1980s. In the mid-1980s, the global chemical industry took counter action in response to these disasters and to gain back its ruined trustworthiness. Today, sustainability is subject of numerous multi-stakeholder initiatives like Responsible Care (VCI, 2019, I, II; Delmas and Montiel, 2008; King and Lenox, 2000), Together for Sustainability (TfS, 2019), Chemie3 (Chemie3, 2019), Platform for Accelerating the Circular Economy (PACE, 2019) and Alliance to End Plastic Waste (AEPW, 2019), and an integral element of the strategy of many big chemical companies (BASF, 2019; Clariant, 2019; Evonik, 2019; Linde, 2019; Wacker, 2019, I). In this decade, chemists and chemi-
The chemical industry can be defined “an embryonic concept aiming at seamlessly integrating the two time-shifted and often independently managed approaches of CSR and CDR in order to resolve de facto and potential conflicts of interest to achieve a company’s SDGs”. Here are some examples of these conflicts:

- A blockchain system negates the risk of trusting a single organization through distributed ledgers and reduces overall costs and fees of all kind of transactions by cutting out intermediaries and third parties. However, its required resources have significantly increased in the last few years. It currently consumes more energy than many countries, such as Denmark, Ireland, and Nigeria (Binance Academy, 2019).
- Super computers offer a quantum leap in computing power, e.g. 1 to 2 quadrillion floating-point operations or 1 to 2 petaflops per second. However, its electricity consumption at full capacity is approximately 600 kilowatts, and the water-cooling system requires up to 60,000 liters of water per hour (BASF, 2018).
- A simultaneous digital and sustainable transformation impacts a chemical company’s future revenue and profit, but also public reputation, core values, culture, business model, technologies, products, services and employees, i.e. financial and non-financial dimensions. If mainly financial key performance indicators, e.g. the Return on Investment (ROI) for the transformation and the Return on Capital Employed (ROCE) for the ongoing business, remain the benchmark for investors and shareholders, business cases are instrumental, however rarely suitable to base not primarily financial decisions on.

The authors have undertaken an empirical survey among 60 chemists in the chemical industry in Germany to identify the relative importance of sustainability and digitalization by 2025. They look at mutual interdependencies...
In addition, 53 data sets including values for each of the three hypotheses were sorted in declining order choosing “Digitalization is a driver of sustainability” as lead parameter, shown as solid line in Figure 2. The depending parameters “importance of digitalization” and “importance of sustainability” are displayed as radar charts underneath. The heterogeneity of the diagram corresponds well with poor correlation coefficients of -0.10 in case of digitalization and +0.07 regarding sustainability.

Participants represent different levels of education (Bachelor, Master, PhD), years on duty, company size (corporation, big, mid-size and small company) and management level (1 through to 4). The survey is hypotheses-based, with respondents indicating their degree of agreement with each proposed hypothesis, using a percentage scale.

The first hypothesis “By 2025 Digitalization will play a major role for chemists and engineers” achieves 83% level of agreement (sample size 56). The distribution of responses is surprisingly homogenous. There is no trend between the responses and the level of education, years on duty, company size, and management level.

78% level of agreement (sample size 56) is a clear indication that also “Sustainability will play a major role by 2025 for chemists and engineers”. The pattern of responses is almost identical with that of the role of digitalization by 2025.

The average level of agreement that “Digitalization is a driver for Sustainable Development” scores at 70% (sample size 53, Figure 1). This view is very consistent within each and across all clusters.

Figure 1 Degree of agreement to the hypothesis „Digitalization is a driver for Sustainable Development“ depending on the level of education, management level and company size (source: own representation, 2019).
Business cluster: Key arguments that “Digitalization is a driver of sustainability” include the better control of sustainability-related technical and management processes and energy management, all leading to higher technical and human resource efficiency and bottom-line improvements. Major concerns address data availability, format, integrity, and security and management decision-making timeliness and effectiveness.

To understand the full bandwidth of related comments from 100% euphoria to 100% skepticism, all comments made were classified in five clusters: business, technical, society, responsibility and mindset. Within each cluster responses were distinguished by supporting and impeding notions. The number of comments in each (sub-)cluster was divided by the total number to calculate comments’ relative frequency. (Figure 3).

Figure 2 Lacking correlation between the importance of digitalization as a driver for sustainability and the importance of digitalization and sustainability by 2025 (source: own representation, 2019).

Figure 3 Hypothesis “Digitalization is a driver of sustainability” Distribution of supporting and impeding arguments by cluster and overall (source: own representation, 2019).
Mindset cluster: Only 12% of all comments address the attitude of managers and employees. Leadership by example and individual freedom to act are seen instrumental for DSD. The biggest concern addresses low willingness and readiness across all levels of the company, from shop floor to C-level, to cope with change associated with DSD.

In summary, regardless which role digitalization and sustainability will play by 2025, 58% of the participants (degree of agreement ≥ 67%) are strong believers that digitalization is a key enabler for DSD, 32% (agreement between 34% and 66%) have mixed feelings, and 9% (agreement ≤ 33%) see no or a limited driving role of digitalization.

DSD is significantly affecting chemists’ job profiles, required skill sets and training needs. Training needs in the context of digitalization (Keller, 2018; Gruß, 2018; Lade, 2019) and those addressing sustainability (Keller and Knoll, 2020; ILO 2019; Graf and Reuter, 2017; ILO and CEDEFOP, 2011) have been defined. Contrary to digitalization skills, respondents consistently claim (degree of agreement between 48% and 62%, Figure 4) that there is no single highest priority for sustainability-related training. Instead, training covering requirements, specifi-

Technical cluster: On the positive side, benefits through big data and artificial intelligence to drive sustainable processes, products etc. dominate by far. Excessive energy consumption, high dependency on state-of-the-art IT infrastructure and the ability to handle giant quantities of data are the top concerns.

Society cluster: Respondents are – with few exceptions - aware of the driving role of politics, educational institutions and the chemical industry in defining and providing boundary conditions for DSD. There is considerable skepticism that educational institutions assume sufficient responsibility by not including digitalization and sustainability comprehensively enough in their curricula.

Responsibility cluster: Respondents consider clear responsibilities instrumental for DSD. Only 20% see their company in charge, not a clear vote for “corporate responsibility”. The lack of commitment to digitally enabled sustainable chemical and management processes and management’s hesitation to invest in required training are the two main concerns. 80% of respondents believe DSD responsibility is primarily with politics and educational organizations.

**Figure 4 Hypothesis** “This specific Sustainable Development area represents the highest training need”. Degree of agreement in % for each individual answer, not additive (source: own representation, 2019).
cations, methods, applications, attitude and options to act for each area - society, company and individual chemists, is required. 68% of the participants request their company to take primary responsibility for subject specific training in the context of Sustainable Development, which stands in conflict with the low overall responsibility (20%).

5 Conclusions

By 2025 digitalization (83% agreement) and sustainability (78% agreement) will be even more instrumental elements of Sustainable Development in Germany’s chemical industry than today, as the results from the empirical survey among 60 chemists suggest. 70% agreement to the hypothesis “Digitalization is a driver of sustainability” and the in-depth evaluation of respondents’ comments reveal key input for Corporate Digital Sustainable Responsibility.

Firstly, there is no quantitative correlation between the importance of digitalization and sustainability by 2025 and the ability of digitalization to drive sustainability. Secondly, chemists already have an extensive repertoire of ideas supporting Corporate Digital Sustainable Responsibility and counter arguments impeding it. Thirdly, there are major concerns regarding scope and maturity of digital and social responsibility competences required for Sustainable Development.

A balanced technology-, people-, and society-oriented Corporate Digital Sustainable Responsibility is required to drive the process of Digital Sustainable Development, which, in turn, helps to achieve Digital Sustainable Development Goals.
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# Table A1 Digitization and Sustainability questionnaire (source: own representation, 2019).

## How do Digitization and Sustainability match in the Chemical Industry?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How do Digitization and Sustainability match in the Chemical Industry?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Digitalization is a driver for sustainable development</strong>: (degree of agreement, %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <strong>The following approaches are suitable or required for it</strong>: (examples, comments)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>The following obstacles are working against it</strong>: (examples, comments)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Indicate who is responsible for the objectives and scope of digital and sustainable development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholders</th>
<th>NGO (consumer organizations, business, digital initiatives, governments)</th>
<th>Education (university, university of applied sciences, professional education companies)</th>
<th>Employer organizations (association of chemical employees)</th>
<th>Employee organizations (trade unions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Associations with corporate members</strong></td>
<td>Associations with personal members (EDC, VCI, DECHEMA)</td>
<td>Enterprise (management, training department)</td>
<td>Functions within enterprises (department, staff position)</td>
<td>Individual employees (blue collar, white collar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLITICS</strong></td>
<td><strong>NGO</strong></td>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td><strong>Employer Organizations</strong></td>
<td><strong>Employee Organizations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Company</strong></td>
<td><strong>Consulting firms</strong></td>
<td><strong>Digital initiatives</strong></td>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td><strong>Employer Organizations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainability</strong></td>
<td><strong>Digital initiatives</strong></td>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td><strong>Employer Organizations</strong></td>
<td><strong>Employee Organizations</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Digitization will play an important role for chemists by 2035

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>How do Digitization and Sustainability match in the Chemical Industry?</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. <strong>Digitization will play an important role for chemists by 2035</strong>: (degree of agreement, %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. <strong>Sustainability will play an important role for chemists by 2035</strong>: (degree of agreement, %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Indicate sustainable development training needs by subject

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholders</th>
<th>Chemical Industry</th>
<th>Enterprise</th>
<th>Individuals</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. <strong>How do Digitization and Sustainability match in the Chemical Industry?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. <strong>Indicate sustainable development training needs by subject</strong>: (degree of agreement in % for each option, not additive)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. <strong>Areas/departments within company</strong></td>
<td>Methodology and approaches</td>
<td>Elsewhere</td>
<td>Elsewhere</td>
<td>Elsewhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicate whether your company/university is a...</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corporation</strong></td>
<td>Large company</td>
<td>Medium-sized company</td>
<td>Small-sized company</td>
<td>University / University of applied sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicate your highest academic degree:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professor</strong></td>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Master / Diploma / 2nd degree</td>
<td>Bachelor / 1st degree</td>
<td>Non-academic qualification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicate your hierarchical leadership position:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Board Managing Director, Executive Chairman</strong></td>
<td>Functional head, senior VP</td>
<td>Department Manager, Plant manager</td>
<td>Team leader, Lab manager, Project leader</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicate the years of professional experience (since last graduation):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comments:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>